

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/030,944 | 09/03/2003 | Alfred Jonczyk | MERCK 2360 | 6331 | |
| 23599 MILLEN, WH | 7590 01/18/200 ITE, ZELANO & BRA | EXAMINER | | | |
| 2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, VA 22201 | | | GUDIBANDE, SATYANARAYAN R | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| , | | 1654 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 01/18/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|----------------------------|----------------|--|--|
| 10/030,944 | JONCZYK ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Satyanarayana R. Gudibande | 1654 | | |

| | Satyanarayana R. Gudibande | 1654 | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 13 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | | | |
| a) | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth | in the final rejection, who date of the final reject | ichever is later. In | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 | (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). | FIRST REPLY WAS F | ILED WITHIN | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of e appeal. Since | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection (s) filed after a filed after a filed after a final rejection (s) filed after a f | out prior to the date of filing a brief, | will <u>not</u> be entered b | ecause | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | w); | • | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | ter form for appeal by materially re | ducing or simplifying | the issues for | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | ected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | (DTG. 00.) | | | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al | | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the | | | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will vided below or appended. | ll be entered and an e | explanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | · | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | t before or on the date of filing - N | -Ai£ A1:11 | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affidate | rit or other evidence is | s necessary and | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome all rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fai | Is to provide a | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ned. | | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. | t does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | | | |
| 13. | | | | | | | |
| | | ANISH GUPTA | | | | | |

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/030,944

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly presented claims alters the scope of the originally claimed invention. The newly introduced claims recites limitations that requires optional derivatization at NH2 terminal, etc. Further seach and cosideration are required. Amendments submitted after the final rejection further restricting the scope of the claim may be denied entry if they do not comply with the requirements of 37 CFR1.116. See MPEP § 714.13...

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendments introduces new claims and new limitations to the invention and hence further search and consideration are needed.